Edward L. Thornton - ID #018281977
METHFESSEL & WERBEL, ESQS.
2025 Lincoln Highway, Suite 200
PO Box 3012
Edison, New Jersey 08818
(732) 248-4200
+1(732) 248-2355
mailbox@methwerb.com
Attorneys for Burlington Landscaping, Inc.
Our File No. 78644 ELT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

FITCHBURG MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY, AS SUBROGEE OF PETER & IRENE ANEVSKI, H/W

Plaintiff,

V.

BURLINGTON LANDSCAPING, INC.

V.

CAST LIGHTING, AQUARIUS IRRIGATION, CAST LIGHTING AS SUBSIDIARY OF AQUARIUS IRRIGATION

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO.: 2:13-CV-05973-SRC-CLW

Civil Action

THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT

THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Fitchburg Mutual Insurance Company, has filed a complaint United States District Court for the District of New Jersey. A copy of the District Court complaint is attached hereto as **Exhibit "A".** Defendant/Third Party Plaintiff, Burlington Landscaping, Inc., by way of Third Party Complaint against the Third Party Defendants, Cast Light, Aquarius Irrigation & Cast Light as a subsidiary of Aquarius Irrigation says:

PARTIES

- 1. Burlington Landscaping, Inc., an entity located in North Haledon, New Jersey, at times relevant to this matter was engaged in commerce in the State of New Jersey.
- 2. Fitchburg Mutual Insurance Company is an organization existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts with its principal place of business in Massachusetts and is licensed and was licensed at the time of the incident to engage in the insurance business in the State of New Jersey.
- 3. Third party defendants Cast Lighting, Aquarius Irrigation and Cast Lighting as a Subsidiary of Aquarius Irrigation, entities located in Hawthorn, New Jersey, at times relevant to this matter were engaged in commerce in the State of New Jersey.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4. Plaintiff, Fitchburg Mutual Insurance Company a/s/o Peter and Irene Anevski, filed this complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1) as the action involves a controversy between citizens of different states and an amount in controversy which exceeds \$75,000.00.
- 5. Venue is proper in this district based on 28 U.S.C. § 1391(a) in that the event giving rise to the claim occurred within this district.

FIRST COUNT

6. Plaintiff, Fitchburg Mutual Insurance Company, alleges that the damage in this case was caused a failure to maintain a light fixture in the front

yard of Peter and Irene Anevski, which caused a fire, causing damage to the

home of Anevski.

7. The light in question was negligently and improperly designed,

manufactured, retailed and consisted of improper and insufficient warnings.

8. The light which was manufactured, designed and retailed by third

party defendants fails to comply with the product liability act. N.J.S.A.

2A:58C-1 et. seq.

WHEREFORE, the defendant/third party plaintiff demands contribution

and indemnification against the third party defendants, Cast Lighting,

Aquarius Irrigation, Cast Lighting as a subsidiary of Aquarius Irrigation

together with interest and costs of suit pursuant to the Joint Tortfeasors

Contribution Act N.J.S.A. 2A:53A-1 et. seq.

METHFESSEL & WERBEL, ESQS.

Attorneys for Burlington Landscaping, Inc.

By:_____

2 Thanton

DATED: May 8, 2014 Edward L. Thornton

EXHIBIT "A"

12/02/2013 12:48 PM C236D 23307Case 2:13-cv-05973-SRC-CtW Document 11 Filed 05/08/14 Page 5 of 11 PageID: 35

Case 2:13-cv-05973-SRC-CLW Document 1 Filed 10/08/13 Page 1 of 6 PageID: 1

COZEN O'CONNOR
A Pennsylvania Professional Corporation
William E. Gericke, Esquire
457 Haddonfield Road
Libertyview, Suite 300
P.O. Box 5459
Cherry Hill, NJ 08002-2220
Attorney Identification No.: 057741993
Attorney for Plaintiff

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

FITCHBURG MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY, as subrogee of PETER & IRENE ANEVSKI, H/W 222 Ames Street
Dedham, MA 02026-1850

CIVIL ACTION NO .:

Plaintiff,

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

BURLINGTON LANDSCAPING, INC. 100 Saw Mill Road North Haledon, NJ 07508-2789

v.

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Fitchburg Mutual Insurance Company, as subrogee of Peter and Irene Anevski, H/W, by and through its counsel, Cozen O'Connor, hereby demands judgment against Defendant, Burlington Landscaping, Inc., and complains against Defendant as follows:

PARTIES

1. Plaintiff, Fitchburg Mutual Insurance Company ("Fitchburg"), is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts with its principal place of business located at 222 Ames Street, Dedham, Massachusetts, and, at all times relevant hereto, was engaged in the insurance business and was licensed to do business in the State of New Jersey.

2/02/2013 12:48 PM C236D 23307Case 2:13-cv-05973-SRC-CtW Document 11 Filed 05/08/14 Page 6 of 11 PageID: 36

Case 2:13-cv-05973-SRC-CLW Document 1 Filed 10/08/13 Page 2 of 6 PageID: 2

2. Plaintiff's insureds, Peter and Irene Anevski, H/W ("Anevski"), are adult individuals and residents of the State of New Jersey who, at all times relevant hereto, owned the real and personal property located at 44 Sturbridge Circle in Wayne, New Jersey (the "Property").

- 3. Defendant, Burlington Landscaping, Inc. ("Burlington"), upon information and belief, is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of New Jersey with its principal place of business located at 100 Saw Mill Road, North Haledon, New Jersey, and, at all times relevant hereto, was engaged, *inter alia*, in the landscaping business.
- 4. Fitchburg issued Policy No. H116465A to Anevski for the period January 4, 2011, to January 4, 2012, to insure the Property.
- 5. Fitchburg has made payments to Anevski under the aforementioned Policy in an amount in excess of Eight Hundred, Eighty Eight Thousand Dollars (\$888,000.00) for damages sustained as the result of a fire that occurred on or about November 16, 2011, at the Property and is subrogated to the rights of its insureds to the extent of its payments pursuant to the terms of the Policy, and now seeks recovery of said payments.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. Jurisdiction is based on 28 U.S.C. §1332(a)(1) as this action involves a controversy between citizens of different states and an amount in controversy which exceeds Seventy Five Thousand Dollars (\$75,000.00), exclusive of interest and costs.
- 7. Venue is proper in this district based on 28 U.S.C. §1391(a) in that the event giving rise to this claim occurred within this district.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

8. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding averments as though set forth at length herein.

- 9. Prior to November 16, 2011, Anevski engaged Burlington, for good and valuable consideration, to maintain all of the landscaping at the Property.
- 10. At all time prior to November 16, 2011, Burlington had exclusive custody and control over all aspects of the landscaping at the Property.
- 11. Prior to November 16, 2011, Burlington installed an in-ground landscaping lighting system at the Property.
- 12. Prior to November 16, 2011, Burlington was to maintain the in-ground landscaping lighting system ('lighting system'), as part of its overall maintenance of the landscaping at the Property.
- 13. Prior to November 16, 2011, landscaping debris accumulated in one of the lighting system's in-ground fixtures.
- 14. As a result of the accumulation of landscaping debris in the lighting system's inground fixture, a fire originated at the fixture on or about November 16, 2011.
- 15. Once the landscaping debris ignited in the in-ground fixture, the fire was able to spread to other portions of the Property.
- 16. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned fire, Anevski's Property was severely damaged and destroyed.
- 17. As a direct and proximate result of the aforementioned fire, Anevski suffered damage and destruction to their Property in an amount in excess of \$888,000.00.

COUNT I – NEGLIGENCE

- 18. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the preceding averments as though set forth at length herein.
- 19. The damage and destruction to Anevski's Property was caused by and resulted from the negligent, careless and/or reckless acts and/or omissions of Burlington, by and through

its agents, subagents, servants, representatives, employees and/or subcontractors acting within the course and scope of their employment. Said acts and/or omissions consisted of:

- (a) failing to properly and safely maintain the landscaping at the Property;
- (b) failing to properly and safely maintain the lighting system at the Property to avoid the hazards of fire;
- (c) failing to use the proper and necessary components and/or parts in the installation and maintenance of the lighting system at the Property;
- (d) improperly permitting and/or causing the lighting system to be installed and/or connected at the Property in an unsafe and dangerous manner;
- (e) failing to detect and correct the unsafe conditions that existed with the lighting system at the Property;
- (f) failing to take proper and adequate precautions to protect the Property from fire, and/or the hazards of fire;
- (g) failing to properly and adequately hire, train and supervise its agents, subagents, servants, representatives, employees and/or subcontractors to ensure that proper and safe means, methods, procedures and techniques were used in the installation and/or connection of the lighting system at the Property;
- (h) failing to properly and adequately hire, train and supervise its agents, subagents, servants, representatives, employees and/or subcontractors to ensure that proper and safe means, methods, procedures and techniques were used in the maintenance of the landscaping at the Property;
- (i) failing to properly and adequately hire, train and supervise its agents, subagents, servants, representatives, employees and/or subcontractors to ensure that proper and safe means,

2/02/2013 12:48 PM C236D 23307 Case 2:13-cv-05973-SRC-CtW Document 11 Filed 05/08/14 Page 9 of 11 PageID: 39

Case 2:13-cv-05973-SRC-CLW Document 1 Filed 10/08/13 Page 5 of 6 PageID: 5

methods, procedures and techniques were used in the maintenance of the lighting system at the Property;

- (j) failing to warn Anevski of the danger presented to their Property by the use of inadequate means, methods, procedures and techniques in the installation and/or connection of the lighting system at the Property;
- (k) failing to warn Anevski of the danger presented to their Property by failing to use the proper and necessary components and/or parts in the installation and/or connection of the lighting system at the Property;
- (l) failing to warn Anevski of the danger presented to their Property by the use of inadequate means, methods, procedures and techniques in the maintenance of the landscaping at the Property;
- (m) failing to warn Anevski of the danger presented to their Property by the use of inadequate means, methods, procedures and techniques in the maintenance of the lighting system at the Property;
- (n) failing to properly and adequately inspect the installation and/or connection of the lighting system at the Property;
- (o) failing to properly and adequately inspect the maintenance of the landscaping at the Property;
- (p) failing to properly and adequately inspect the maintenance of the lighting system at the Property;
- (q) creating an unreasonable risk of fire by failing to safely and properly maintain the landscaping and the lighting system at the Property;

Case 2:13-cv-05973-SRC-CLW Document 1 Filed 10/08/13 Page 6 of 6 PageID: 6

- (r) failing to take proper and adequate precautions to prevent the ignition of landscaping debris that Burlington knew or should have known could accumulate in the light fixtures of the lighting system at the Property and ignite;
 - (s) causing the aforesaid fire;
- causing and/or permitting the aforesaid fire to spread to other portions of the
 Property;
 - (u) failing to provide and/or observe adequate safety rules and/or regulations;
 - (v) failing to perform its work in a good and workmanlike manner; and
 - (w) otherwise failing to use due care under the circumstances.
- 20. As a direct and proximate result of Burlington's negligent, careless, reckless acts and/or omissions, Anevski suffered damage and destruction to their Property in an amount in excess of \$888,000.00.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, Fitchburg Mutual Insurance Company, as subrogee of Peter and Irene Anevski, H/W, demands judgment against Defendant, Burlington Landscaping, Inc., for damages in an amount in excess of \$888,000.00, together with interest, costs, attorneys' fees and such other damages as may properly be awarded by this Court.

Respectfully submitted, COZEN O'CONNOR PC

WILLIAM E. GERICKE, ESQUIRE
457 Haddonfield Road, Suite 300
P.O. Box 5459
Cherry Hill, NJ 08002-2220
(856) 910-5000 - Telephone
(856) 910-5075 - Facsimile
Attorney Identification No.: 057741993
Attorneys for Plaintiff, Fitchburg Mutual
Insurance Company

12/02/2013 12:48 PM C236D 23307 Case 2:13-cv-05973-SRC-CtW Document 11 Filed 05/08/14 Page 11 of 11 PageID: 41

Case 2:13-cv-05973-SRC-CLW Document 1-1 Filed 10/08/13 Page 1 of 1 PageID: 7

JS 44 (Rev. 12/12)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRICTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

 I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Fitchburg Mutual Insurance Company a/s/o Peter & Irene Anevski, 				Burlington Landsca	ping, Inc.		
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Dedham, MA (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A William E. Gericke, Coze Cherry Hill, NJ 08002 8	n O'Connor PC, 457 H	laddonfield Rd, Ste	300,	Attomeys (If Kn	own)	, ·	
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in O	ne Box (Inly)			RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)	
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	☐ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) PT en of This State		PTF DEF	
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant				en of Another State O	of Business In /		
	<u> </u>			en or Subject of a Dereign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box On		- . r	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Stander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability Product Liability Personal Injury - Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability S68 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage	0 69	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 20 Other LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal	Appeal 28 USC 158	
195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise	Injury 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	385 Property Damage Product Liability	ד ם יד ם	SI Family and Medical Leave Act 90 Other Labor Litigation	895 Freedom of Informa Act 896 Arbitration 896 Arbitration 897 Administrative Proc		
REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability	CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/	PRISONER PETITIO Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence 530 General		1	B70 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) B71 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
290 All Other Real Property	□ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 448 Education	☐ 535 Death Penalty Other:		IMMIGRATION 62 Naturalization Application 65 Other Immigration Actions			
	moved from	Appellate Court	Reo	(specify)	r District Litigation		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	ON Reject description of an	mica.		Do not cite jurisdictional state ain landscaping inclu	ding but not limited to li	ghting system	
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P.			N C	DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: 888,000.00 JURY DEMAND: Y Yes □ No			
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (Noe instructions):	JUDGE	-		DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE 10/08/2013	,,,,	SIGNATURE OF AT /s/ William E.					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY						nac .	
RECEIPT# Al	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JU	DDGE	